UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,434 01/11/2002 Peter A. Warren 7590 12/12/2007		Peter A. Warren	FM-169J	9313
		EXAMINER		
Iandiorio & Teska 260 Bear Hill Road			A, PHI DIEU TRAN	
Waltham, MA	Waltham, MA 02451-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
` Office Action Summary		10/044,434	WARREN, PETER A.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Phi D. A	3633	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	sa patent term adjustment. Goo or or it in o-(o).	·		
1)⊠ 2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 O</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa	action is non-final.	prosecution as to the ments is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{E}$	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>22-71</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>22-71</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate the contraction of the contractio	cation No eived in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/1/07.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date	

Application/Control Number:

10/044,434 Art Unit: 3633

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/1/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 1.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 22-43, 46, 50-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ronden (4078867) in view of Palmer (1971500) and Egres Jr. (6016848).

Ronden (figure 1) shows a foldable member comprising at least a first tube (4).

Ronden does not show the tube being made of a layers of material, at least one predetermined hinge area along the length of the first tube, a plurality of opposing elongated slots in the tube through the layers of material forming separated longitudinal strips of tube material between the slots which fold when subjected to localized buckling forces.

Application/Control Number:

10/044,434

Art Unit: 3633

Palmer discloses a tube (4) having at least one predetermined hinge area along the length of the first tube, a plurality of opposing elongated slots in the tube through the layer of material forming separated longitudinal strips of tube material between the slots which fold when subjected to localized buckling forces.

Egres discloses a tube made of layers of material to withstand repeated flexing due to bending.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ronden's structure to show the tube being made of layers of material since it enables the tube to withstand repeated flexing due to bending as taught by Egres, and having the tube with at least one predetermined hinge area along the length of the first tube, a plurality of opposing elongated slots in the tube through the layer of material forming separated longitudinal strips of tube material between the slots which fold when subjected to localized buckling forces would enable the tubular member to more easily bend when needed as taught by Palmer.

Ronden as modified further shows at least one predetermined hinge area along the length of the first tube, a plurality of opposing elongated slots in the tube through the tube material forming separated longitudinal strips of tube material between the slots which fold when subjected to localized bucking forces, a plurality of opposing slots, at least four slots, one set of two slots opposing another set of two slots, each slot of each set of elongated slots separated longitudinally along the length of the tube from each adjacent slot by a bridge element of tube material, the opposing sets of slots being diametrically opposed from each other, each slot in each set of slots is diametrically opposed from a slot in the opposing set of slots, two sets of slots and two slots in each set of slots, a stress relieving element (the edge of the slot the interior

Art Unit: 3633

surface) attached to each bridge element on the inside of the tube, a plurality of hinge areas spaced from each other along the length of the tube, each hinge area including opposing sets of elongated slots, an electrical conductor(4) disposed in the tube, at least one transducer device(the ground) located proximate the hinge area for controlling the folding of the longitudinal strips of tube material, slot reinforcement members (the reinforcing members being the bridges), four slots in each set of slots and each slot of a pair of the four slots opposing another slot, a collapsible structure comprising a plurality of joined members (the members being the different sections of the tube joined together forming the tube).

Per claims 27, 37-39, 57, 64, 70-71, Rondenl as modified shows the layers of material are laminated to each other except at the predetermined hinge area, the tube being made of a plastic material, the tube being made of a composite material, the composite material including a triaxial braid of fibers in a resin matrix (col 6 line 26, Egres Jr.), the plurality of longitudinal strips being multi-ply

Per claims 23-26, 53-56, 60-63, Ronden et al as modified shows all the claimed limitations including the first tube including a sheet of plastic material wrapped around itself several times forming the layers of tube material.

Per claims 24-26, 54-56, 60-64, Ronden as modified shows an adhesive securing the layers of plastic material to each other all selected locations along the length of the tube and the adhesive being a tape (inherently so the layers of plastic material each is an adhesive tape), the sheet of plastic material comes from a roll of plastic stock material with the fibers impregnated with resin and having a round memory (when cured).

10/044,434

Art Unit: 3633

3. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ronden (4078867) in view of Palmer (1971500) and Egres Jr. (6016848) as applied to claim 40 above and further in view of Richards et al.

Ronden as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for each slots having a reduced diameter portion.

Richards et al discloses slots having a reduced diameter portion.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ronden's modified structure to show each slots having a reduced diameter portion as taught by Richards et al since it would have been an obvious matter of engineering design choice to have the slots being oval as they function the same to provide for weakening of the tube member at the slot areas. Ronden as modified shows the slots (diamond or triangular) having a reduced diameter portion.

4. Claims 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ronden in view of Palmer and Egres Jr (6016848).

Ronden as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for the slots being triangle shaped, or diamond shaped.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ronden's modified structure to show the slots being triangle shaped, or diamond shaped because triangular; diamond, rectangular, or oval shaped slots are well known shapes for slots.

Application/Control Number:

10/044,434 Art Unit: 3633

5. Claims 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ronden in view of Egres Jr (6016848) and Palmer as applied to claim 40 and further in view of Sorenson (5598598).

Ronden as modified shows all the claimed limitations except for a second tube disposed inside the first tube, the second tube including opposing sets of elongated slots at the hinge area thereof.

Sorenson shows a second tube(60) disposed inside the first tube(32) to strengthen the tubular structure.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ronden's modified structure to show a second tube disposed inside the first tube because it would strengthen the tubular structure as taught by Sorenson.

Per claim 49, Ronden as modified shows the second including opposing sets of elongated slots at the hinge area thereof.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/1/2007 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows different folding structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

10/044,434

Art Unit: 3633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

12/9/07